arXiv:1607.08669v1 [math.PR] 29 Jul 2016

Moderate deviations for stochastic models of two-dimensional second grade fluids

Jianliang Zhai [1,*], Tusheng Zhang[2,1,†], Wuting Zheng[1,‡]
[1] *School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, China*
[2] *School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK*

Abstract: In this paper, we prove a central limit theorem and establish a moderate deviation principle for stochastic models of incompressible second grade fluids. The weak convergence method introduced by [4] plays an important role.

Key Words: Central limit theorem; Moderate deviations; Second grade fluids; Non-Newtonian fluid; Stochastic partial differential equations.

1 Introduction

The second grade fluids is an admissible model of slow flow fluids, which contains a large class of Non-Newtonian fluids such as industrial fluids, slurries, polymer melts, etc.. And as mentioned in [20], "the second grade fluid has general and pleasant properties such as boundedness, stability, and exponential decay". Furthermore, it also has interesting connections with many other fluid models, see [9], [10], [28], [29], [30], [41], [42] and references therein. For example, the second grade fluids reduce to Navier-Stokes Equations when some of the parameters equal to 0, and it was shown in [30] that they are good approximations of the Navier-Stokes Equation. We refer to [20], [21], [24], [36] for a comprehensive theory of the second grade fluids.

Recently, the stochastic models of two-dimensional second grade fluids (1.1) have been studied in [37], [38] and [39], where the authors obtained the existence and uniqueness of solutions and investigated the behavior of the solutions. The martingale solution of such system driven by Lévy noise is studied in [27].

In this paper, we are concerned with asymptotic behaviors of stochastic models for the incompressible second grade fluids, which are given as follows:

$$d(u^\varepsilon - \alpha\Delta u^\varepsilon) + \Big(- \nu\Delta u^\varepsilon + curl(u^\varepsilon - \alpha\Delta u^\varepsilon) \times u^\varepsilon + \nabla\mathfrak{P}^\varepsilon \Big) dt \tag{1.1}$$

*zhaijl@ustc.edu.cn
†Tusheng.Zhang@manchester.ac.uk
‡zwtzjr@mail.ustc.edu.cn

$$= F(u^\varepsilon, t)dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}G(u^\varepsilon, t)dW, \quad in\ \mathcal{O} \times (0, T],$$

under the following condition

$$\begin{cases} \text{div } u^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O} \times (0, T]; \\ u^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } \partial\mathcal{O} \times [0, T]; \\ u^\varepsilon(0) = u_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is a connected, bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$ of class $\mathcal{C}^3$; $u^\varepsilon = (u_1^\varepsilon, u_2^\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{P}^\varepsilon$ represent the random velocity and modified pressure, respectively; and W is an m-dimensional standard Brownian motion defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}, P)$.

Set

$$\mathcal{C} = \Big\{u \in [\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})]^2 \text{ such that div } u = 0\Big\},$$
$$\mathbb{H} = \text{ closure of } \mathcal{C} \text{ in } \mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O}).$$

Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the Helmholtz-Leray projection from $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O})$ into $\mathbb{H}$. Let A be the Stoke operator $-\mathbb{P}\Delta$(see the precise definition below). One can see that (1.1) is equivalent to the following stochastic evolution equation:

$$du^\varepsilon(t) + \nu\widehat{A}u^\varepsilon(t)dt + \widehat{B}(u^\varepsilon(t), u^\varepsilon(t))dt = \widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(t), t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t), t)dW(t), \tag{1.3}$$

with initial value $u^\varepsilon(0) = u_0$.
Where $\widehat{A} = (I + \alpha A)^{-1}A$, $\widehat{B}(u, v) = (I + \alpha A)^{-1}\Big(curl(u - \alpha\Delta u) \times v\Big)$, $\widehat{F} = (I + \alpha A)^{-1}F$, $\widehat{G} = (I + \alpha A)^{-1}G$.

As the parameter ε tends to zero, the solution u^ε of (1.3) will tend to the solution of the following deterministic equation

$$du^0(t) + \nu\widehat{A}u^0(t)dt + \widehat{B}(u^0(t), u^0(t))dt = \widehat{F}(u^0(t), t), \tag{1.4}$$

with initial value $u^0(0) = u_0$.

In this paper, we shall investigate deviations of u^ε from the deterministic solution u^0, as ε decreases to 0, that is, the asymptotic behavior of the trajectory,

$$Z^\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}(u^\varepsilon - u^0)(t), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ is some deviation scale which strongly influences the asymptotic behavior of Y^ε.

1. The case $\lambda(\varepsilon) = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ provides some large deviations estimates. The large deviation theory has important applications in many areas, such as in thermodynamics, statistical mechanics, information theory and risk management, etc., see [19] [48] and reference therein, and it has been extensively studied in recent years. For stochastic evolution equations and stochastic partial differential equations driven by Gaussian processes, there are many papers on this topic, see e.g. [6], [7], [8], [11], [12], [14], [35], [45], [53]. Large deviations for stochastic models of two-dimensional second grade fluids has been obtained by Zhai and Zhang in [52].

2. The case $\lambda(\varepsilon) = 1$ is known as the central limit theorem(CLT for short). We will show that $(u^\varepsilon - u^0)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ converges to a solution of a stochastic evolution equation as ε decreases to 0.

3. When the deviation scale satisfies

$$\lambda(\varepsilon) \to +\infty, \qquad \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \ \ \varepsilon \to 0, \tag{1.5}$$

we are in the domain of the so-called moderate deviation principle (MDP for short, cf.[19]), which fills in the gap between the CLT scale $[\lambda(\varepsilon) = 1]$ and the large deviations scale $[\lambda(\varepsilon) = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}]$. In this paper, we will establish the MDP for (1.1).

Like the large deviations, the estimates of moderate deviations are very useful in the theory of statistical inference. It can provide us with the rate of convergence and a useful method for constructing asymptotic confidence intervals, see [22], [25], [31], [32] and references therein. There are many results on the MDP in various frameworks, for example, De Acosta [1], Chen[13] and Ledoux [33] for processes with independent increments; Wu [51] for Markov processes; Guillin and Liptser [26] for diffusion processed; Wang and Zhang [50] for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations; Wang, Zhai and Zhang [49] for 2-D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by Brownian motion; Budhiraja, Dupuis and Ganguly [5] for stochastic differential equations with jump; Dong, Xiong, Zhai and Zhang [18] for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by Poisson random measures.

To establish the MDP, we will adopt the weak convergence approach introduced in [4], which has been used by many authors in the framework of non-linear hydrodynamics models driven by Gaussian noise, see for example [15], [3], [40] and [46]. This approach amounts to establishing the weak convergence of perturbations of equation (1.1) in the random directions of the Cameron-Martin space of the driving Brownian motion. Because of the nature of the second grade fluids models, to get the uniform bound(Lemma 5.2) for the solutions of the random perturbations of the system, we are forced to work with the Galerkin approximations, rather than the equations themselves. The proof is long and quite technical. We are only able to show that the solution family of the random perturbations of the system (1.1) is tight in a larger space. However, this turns out to be sufficient for us to prove the weak convergence in the actual state space with a stronger topology.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some functional spaces and state some estimates which will be used later. In Section 3, we formulate the hypotheses and recall the precise definition of solutions. We also collect some results on existence, uniqueness and regularities of the solutions. In Section 4, we establish the central limit theorem. Section 5 is devoted to establishing the moderate deviation principle.

Throughout this paper, $C, C_{p,T}, C_N...$ denote positive constants depending on some parameters $p, T, N, ...$, whose value may be different from line to line.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some functional spaces and preliminary facts that are needed in the paper.

For $p \geq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $L^p(\mathcal{O})$ and $W^{k,p}(\mathcal{O})$ the usual L^p and Sobolev spaces over $\mathcal{O}$, and write $H^k(\mathcal{O}) := W^{k,2}(\mathcal{O})$. Let $W_0^{k,p}(\mathcal{O})$ be the closure in $W^{k,p}(\mathcal{O})$ of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact supports in $\mathcal{O}$, and denote $W_0^{k,2}(\mathcal{O})$ by $H_0^k(\mathcal{O})$. We equip $H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ with the scalar product

$$((u, v)) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx, \tag{2.1}$$

where ∇ is the gradient operator. It is well known that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ generated by this scalar product is equivalent to the usual norm of $W^{1,2}(\mathcal{O})$ in $H_0^1(\mathcal{O})$.

In the sequel, we denote the space $\{(x_1, x_2),\ x_1, x_2 \in X\}$ by $\mathbb{X}$. Set

$$\mathbb{V} = \text{ closure of } \mathcal{C} \text{ in } \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O}). \tag{2.2}$$

We denote by $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $|\cdot|$ the inner product in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O})$(in $\mathbb{H}$) and the induced norm, respectively. The inner product and the norm of $\mathbb{H}_0^1(\mathcal{O})$ are denoted respectively by $((\cdot,\cdot))$ and $\|\cdot\|$. For the space $\mathbb{V}$ we will use the norm generated by the following scalar product

$$(u, v)_{\mathbb{V}} = (u, v) + \alpha((u, v)), \text{ for any } u, v \in \mathbb{V}.$$

The Poincaré's inequality implies that, for some $\mathcal{P} > 0$

$$(\mathcal{P}^2 + \alpha)^{-1}\|v\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \le \|v\|^2 \le \alpha^{-1}\|v\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2, \quad for\ any\ v \in \mathbb{V}. \tag{2.3}$$

We also introduce the following space

$$\mathbb{W} = \{u \in \mathbb{V} \text{ such that } curl(u - \alpha\Delta u) \in L^2(\mathcal{O})\},$$

and endow it with the norm generated by the scalar product

$$(u, v)_{\mathbb{W}} = (u, v)_{\mathbb{V}} + \Big(curl(u - \alpha\Delta u), curl(v - \alpha\Delta v)\Big). \tag{2.4}$$

The following result can be found in [17], [16]. See also Lemma 2.1 in [37].

Lemma 2.1 *Set*

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{W}} = \Big\{v \in \mathbb{H}^3(\mathcal{O})\ \textit{such that}\ \mathrm{div} v = 0\ \textit{and}\ v|_{\partial\mathcal{O}} = 0\Big\}.$$

Then the following (algebraic and topological) identity holds:

$$\mathbb{W} = \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, the following inequality holds: for some $C > 0$

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{H}^3(\mathcal{O})}^2 \le C\|v\|_{\mathbb{W}}^2, \quad \forall v \in \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}. \tag{2.6}$$

If we identify the Hilbert space $\mathbb{V}$ with its dual space $\mathbb{V}^*$ by the Riesz representation, then we obtain a Gelfand triple

$$\mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{W}^*. \tag{2.7}$$

We denote by $\langle f, v\rangle$ the dual pair between $f \in \mathbb{W}^*$ and $v \in \mathbb{W}$. Then we have

$$(v, w)_{\mathbb{V}} = \langle v, w\rangle, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{V}, \ \ \forall w \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Since the injection of $\mathbb{W}$ into $\mathbb{V}$ is compact, there exists a sequence $\{(e_i, \lambda_i) \in \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{R} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which has the following properties

(1) $\{e_i,\ i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{W}$, and an orthogonal system in $\mathbb{V}$;

(2) $0 < \lambda_i \uparrow \infty$;

(3) the elements of this sequence are the solutions of the eigenvalue problem

$$(v, e_i)_{\mathbb{W}} = \lambda_i (v, e_i)_{\mathbb{V}}, \text{ for any } v \in \mathbb{W}. \tag{2.8}$$

By Lemma 4.1 in [39], we have

$$e_i \in \mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.9}$$

Consider the following "generalized Stokes equations":

$$\begin{aligned} v - \alpha \Delta v &= f \text{ in } \mathcal{O}, \\ \text{div } v &= 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}, \\ v &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{O}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

The following result can be derived from [43], [44] and also can be found in [39] and [37].

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a connected, bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$ of class $\mathcal{C}^l$ and let f be a function in $\mathbb{H}^l$, $l \geq 1$. Then the system (2.10) has a solution $v \in \mathbb{H}^{l+2} \cap \mathbb{V}$. Moreover if f is an element of $\mathbb{H}$, then v is unique and the following relations hold*

$$(v, g)_{\mathbb{V}} = (f, g), \ \forall g \in \mathbb{V}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{H}^{l+2}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}}. \tag{2.12}$$

Recall the Stokes operator defined by

$$Au = -\mathbb{P}\Delta u, \ \forall u \in D(A) = \mathbb{H}^2(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{V}, \tag{2.13}$$

here the mapping $\mathbb{P} : \mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathbb{H}$ is the usual Helmholtz-Leray projector. Lemma 2.2 implies that the operator $(I + \alpha A)^{-1}$ defines an isomorphism form $\mathbb{H}^l(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{H}$ into $\mathbb{H}^{l+2}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{V}$ provided that $\mathcal{O}$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^l$, $l \geq 1$. Moreover, the following properties hold

$$\begin{aligned} &((I + \alpha A)^{-1} f, g)_{\mathbb{V}} = (f, g), \\ &\|(I + \alpha A)^{-1} f\|_{\mathbb{V}} \leq C|f|, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{H}^l(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{V}, \ g \in \mathbb{V}. \end{aligned}$$

From these facts, $\widehat{A} = (I + \alpha A)^{-1} A$ defines a continuous linear operator from $\mathbb{H}^l(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathbb{V}$ onto itself for $l \geq 2$, and satisfies

$$(\widehat{A}u, v)_{\mathbb{V}} = (Au, v) = ((u, v)), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{W}, \ v \in \mathbb{V}.$$

Hence

$$(\widehat{A}u, u)_{\mathbb{V}} = \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Let

$$b(u, v, w) = ((u \cdot \nabla)v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} u_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} w_j dx, \quad \forall u, v, w \in \mathcal{C},$$

By the incompressibility condition,

$$b(u,v,v)=0, \quad \forall u,v\in\mathbb{V}, \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover, the following identity holds (see for instance [2] [17]):

$$((curl\Phi)\times v,w)=b(v,\Phi,w)-b(w,\Phi,v), \tag{2.15}$$

for any smooth function Φ, v and w. Now we recall the following estimates which can be found in [37](Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4), and also in [2] [17].

Lemma 2.3 *For any $u,v,w\in\mathbb{W}$, we have*

$$|(curl(u-\alpha\Delta u)\times v,w)|\le C\|u\|_{\mathbb{H}^3}\|v\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|w\|_{\mathbb{W}}, \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$|(curl(u-\alpha\Delta u)\times u,w)|\le C\|u\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\|w\|_{\mathbb{W}}. \tag{2.17}$$

Recall the definition of the bilinear operator $\widehat{B}(\cdot,\cdot):\ \mathbb{W}\times\mathbb{V}\to\mathbb{W}^*$ as

$$\widehat{B}(u,v)=(I+\alpha A)^{-1}\Big(curl(u-\alpha\Delta u)\times v\Big). \tag{2.18}$$

Lemma 2.4 *For any $u\in\mathbb{W}$ and $v\in\mathbb{V}$, it holds that*

$$\|\widehat{B}(u,v)\|_{\mathbb{W}^*}\le C\|u\|_{\mathbb{W}}\|v\|_{\mathbb{V}}, \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\|\widehat{B}(u,u)\|_{\mathbb{W}^*}\le C_B\|u\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2. \tag{2.20}$$

In addition

$$\langle\widehat{B}(u,v),v\rangle=0, \tag{2.21}$$

which implies

$$\langle\widehat{B}(u,v),w\rangle=-\langle\widehat{B}(u,w),v\rangle, \quad \forall u,\ v,\ w\in\mathbb{W}. \tag{2.22}$$

3 Hypotheses

In this section, we will state the precise assumptions on the coefficients and collect some preliminary results from [39] and [37], which will be used later.

Let $F:\mathbb{V}\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{V}$ and $G:\mathbb{V}\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}$ be given measurable maps. We introduce the following conditions:

(F1)

$$F(0,t)=0, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\|F(u_1,t)-F(u_2,t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\leq C_1\|u_1-u_2\|_{\mathbb{V}},\quad \forall u_1,u_2\in\mathbb{V},\quad t\in[0,T]. \tag{3.2}$$

(F2) F is differentiable with respect to the first variable, and the derivative $F':\mathbb{V}\times[0,T]\to L(\mathbb{V})$ is uniformly Lipschitz with respect to the first variable, more precisely, for any $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\|F'(u_1,t)-F'(u_2,t)\|_{L(\mathbb{V})}\leq C_2\|u_1-u_2\|_{\mathbb{V}},\quad \forall u_1,u_2\in\mathbb{V},\quad t\in[0,T]. \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.2), we conclude that

$$\|F'(u,t)\|_{L(\mathbb{V})}\leq C_2. \tag{3.4}$$

(G)

$$G(0,t)=0, \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\|G(u_1,t)-G(u_2,t)\|_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}\leq C_3\|u_1-u_2\|_{\mathbb{V}},\quad \forall u_1,u_2\in\mathbb{V},\quad t\in[0,T]. \tag{3.6}$$

Where C_1,C_2,C_3 are some constants independent of u,t. Set

$$\widehat{F}'(u,t)=(I+\alpha A)^{-1}F'(u,t).$$

Condition (F1), **Condition (F2)** and **Condition (G)** imply that there exist C_F, C'_F and C_G such that

$$\|\widehat{F}(u_1,t)-\widehat{F}(u_2,t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\leq C_F\|u_1-u_2\|_{\mathbb{V}}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\|\widehat{F}'(u_1,t)-\widehat{F}'(u_2,t)\|_{L(\mathbb{V})}\leq C'_F\|u_1-u_2\|_{\mathbb{V}}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\|\widehat{G}(u_1,t)-\widehat{G}(u_2,t)\|_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}\leq C_G\|u_1-u_2\|_{\mathbb{V}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Now we recall the concept of solution of the problem (1.1).

Definition 3.1 *A $\mathbb{V}$-valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$-adapted stochastic process u^ε is called a solution of the system (1.1), if the following two conditions hold*

1. $u^\varepsilon\in L^p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P;L^\infty([0,T],\mathbb{W}))\cap L^p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P;C([0,T],\mathbb{V}))$, $2\leq p<\infty$.

2. For any $v\in\mathbb{W}$, the following identity holds P-a.s.

$$\begin{aligned}&(u^\varepsilon(t)-u^\varepsilon(0),v)_{\mathbb{V}}+\int_0^t[\nu((u^\varepsilon(s),v))+\big(curl(u^\varepsilon(s)-\alpha\Delta u^\varepsilon(s)\big)\times u^\varepsilon(s),v)]ds\\ =&\int_0^t\big(F(u^\varepsilon(s),s),v\big)ds+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^t\big(G(u^\varepsilon(s),s),v\big)dW(s),\quad\forall t\in(0,T]\end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently, P-a.s., the following equation

$$u^\varepsilon(t)+\int_0^t\Big(\nu\widehat{A}u^\varepsilon(s)+\widehat{B}(u^\varepsilon(s),u^\varepsilon(s))\Big)ds=u^\varepsilon(0)+\int_0^t\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)ds+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\int_0^t\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)dW(s),$$

holds in $\mathbb{W}^*$ for any $t\in(0,T]$.

Applying Galerkin approximation schemes for the system (1.1), Razafimandimby and Sango obtained the following result (see Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 4.1 in [37]).

Theorem 3.2 *Let $u_0 \in \mathbb{W}$. Assume conditions* **(F1)** *and* **(G)** *hold. Then the system (1.1) (or equivalently the problem (1.3)) has a unique solution u^ϵ. Moreover, the solution u^ε admits a version which is continuous in $\mathbb{W}$ with respect to the weak topology.*

Recall the solution u^0 given in (1.4). By Theorem 5.6 in [16], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *If we assume that the boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{3,1}$ and the initial value $u_0 \in \mathbb{V} \cap \mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$, then u^0 belongs to $L^\infty([0,T], \mathbb{V} \cap \mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}))$, i.e.*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})} \leq C. \tag{3.10}$$

To obtain the moderate deviation principles, additionally we impose the following hypothese throughout.

(I) the initial value $u_0 \in \mathbb{V} \cap \mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$ and the boundary $\partial\mathcal{O}$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{3,1}$.

4 Central Limit Theorem

In this section, we will establish the central limit theorem. Let u^ε and u^0 be the unique solution of (1.3) and (1.4) respectively. The following estimates follow from Lemma 3.7 in [37].

Lemma 4.1 *There exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for any $2 \leq p < \infty$*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)} E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^p\Big] \leq C_p, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^p \leq C_p. \tag{4.2}$$

The next result is concerned with the convergence of u^ε as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Proposition 4.1 *There exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$,*

$$E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|u^\varepsilon(s) - u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big] \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} C_p, \text{ for any } 2 \leq p < \infty, \tag{4.3}$$

where C_p is a constant depending on p.

Proof: For any integer $J \geq 1$ we introduce the stopping time

$$\tau_J = \inf\{t \geq 0; \|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}} \geq J\}.$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p$ for $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d\|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p = d(\|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ = \ & \frac{p}{2}\|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\Big(- 2\nu\|u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0(t)\|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-2\langle \widehat{B}(u^\varepsilon(t),u^\varepsilon(t))-\widehat{B}(u^0(t),u^0(t)),u^\varepsilon(t)-u^0(t)\rangle dt\\
&+2\big(\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)-\widehat{F}(u^0(t),t),u^\varepsilon(t)-u^0(t)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}dt\\
&+2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t),u^\varepsilon(t)-u^0(t)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}dW(t)+\varepsilon\|\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}dt\Big)\\
&+\frac{p}{4}(\frac{p}{2}-1)\|u^\varepsilon(t)-u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-4}4\varepsilon\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t),u^\varepsilon(t)-u^0(t)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t),u^\varepsilon(t)-u^0(t)\big)'_{\mathbb{V}}dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating from 0 to t, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|u^\varepsilon(t)-u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p+p\nu\int_0^t\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|^2ds\\
=\;&-p\int_0^t\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\langle\widehat{B}(u^\varepsilon(s),u^\varepsilon(s))-\widehat{B}(u^0(s),u^0(s)),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\rangle ds\\
&+p\int_0^t\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big(\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{F}(u^0(s),s),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}ds\\
&+\sqrt{\varepsilon}p\int_0^t\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}dW(s)\\
&+\frac{p}{2}\varepsilon\int_0^t\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}ds\\
&+p(\frac{p}{2}-1)\varepsilon\int_0^t\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-4}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big)'_{\mathbb{V}}ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking sup over the interval $[0,T\wedge\tau_J]$ and taking expectation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(t)-u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]+\nu p\;E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|^2ds)\Big]\\
\le\;&pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big|\big\langle\widehat{B}(u^\varepsilon(s),u^\varepsilon(s))-\widehat{B}(u^0(s),u^0(s)),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big\rangle\big|ds\Big]\\
&+pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big|\big(\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{F}(u^0(s),s),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big|ds\Big]\\
&+\sqrt{\varepsilon}pE\Big[\sup_{0\le t\le T\wedge\tau_J}\Big|\int_0^t\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}dW(s)\Big|\Big]\\
&+\frac{p}{2}\varepsilon E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}ds\Big]\\
&+p(\frac{p}{2}-1)\varepsilon E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-4}\\
&\qquad\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big)'_{\mathbb{V}}ds\Big]\\
&:=I_1(T)+I_2(T)+I_3(T)+I_4(T)+I_5(T).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.4}
$$

Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1(T)\;&\le\;C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big|\big\langle\widehat{B}\big(u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s),u^\varepsilon(s)\big),u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big\rangle\big|ds\Big]\\
&\le\;C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}ds\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\leq C_p \int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}(\|u^\varepsilon(r)-u^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p)\Big]\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}ds. \tag{4.5}$$

By (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(T) &\leq C_p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big] \\ &\leq C_p\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J} E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(r)-u^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Applying (3.9) and the B-D-G and Young's inequalities to I_3, we have for any $\delta>0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(T) &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}C_p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big]^{\frac12} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}C_p E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{\frac p2}\Big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big)^{\frac12}\Big] \\ &\leq \delta E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]+\varepsilon C_{p,\delta}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big] \\ &\leq \delta E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]+C_{p,\delta}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p ds\Big] \\ &\quad +\varepsilon^{\frac p2}C_{p,\delta}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p ds\Big] \\ &\leq \delta E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]+C_{p,\delta}\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(r)-u^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]ds \\ &\quad +\varepsilon^{\frac p2}C_{p,\delta,T}E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

By (3.5) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4(T) &\leq \varepsilon C_p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big] \\ &\leq C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(r)-u^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]ds+\varepsilon^{\frac p2}C_{p,T}E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_5(T) &\leq \varepsilon C_p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\Big] \\ &\leq C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(r)-u^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]ds+\varepsilon^{\frac p2}C_{p,T}E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Combining (4.4)–(4.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(1-\delta)E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big] \\ &\quad +\nu pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|^2 ds\Big] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_p \int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}(\|u^\varepsilon(r)-u^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p)\Big]\big(\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}+1\big)ds \\ &\quad +\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}C_{p,\delta,T}E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Choosing $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ and applying Gronwall's Inequality, we obtain

$$E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]\leq \varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}C_p. \tag{4.11}$$

Finally, let $J\to\infty$ to obtain (4.3). ■

Consider the following SPDE:

$$\begin{aligned} &dV^0(t)+\nu\widehat{A}V^0(t)dt \\ =\ &-\widehat{B}(V^0(t),u^0(t))-\widehat{B}(u^0(t),V^0(t))+\widehat{F}'(u^0(t),t)V^0(t)dt+\widehat{G}(u^0(t),t)dW(t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

with initial value $V^0(0)=0$. Using Galerkin approximations and Lemma 3.1 as in [37], we can prove that there exists a unique solution of (4.12), and we have the following estimate:

$$E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^p\Big]\leq C_p,\quad p\geq 2.$$

Actually, the details of a prior estimates of Galerkin approximations are also similar to the proof of Lemma 5.1 below.

Our first main result is the following central limit theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *Set* $V^\varepsilon(t):=\frac{u^\varepsilon-u^0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$.
Then we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]=0. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof: Noticing that $V^\varepsilon(0)=0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &dV^\varepsilon(t)+\nu\widehat{A}V^\varepsilon(t)dt+\widehat{B}(V^\varepsilon(t),u^\varepsilon(t))dt+\widehat{B}(u^0(t),V^\varepsilon(t))dt \\ =\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\big(\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)-\widehat{F}(u^0(t),t)\big)dt+\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)dW(t), \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &d(V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t))+\nu\widehat{A}(V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t))dt \\ &+(\widehat{B}(V^\varepsilon(t),u^\varepsilon(t))-\widehat{B}(V^0(t),u^0(t)))dt+(\widehat{B}(u^0(t),V^\varepsilon(t))-\widehat{B}(u^0(t),V^0(t)))dt \\ =\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\big(\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)-\widehat{F}(u^0(t),t)\big)dt-\widehat{F}'(u^0(t),t)V^0(t)dt \\ &+\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)-\widehat{G}(u^0(t),t)\big)dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]\leq C_p. \tag{4.14}$$

Now applying Itô's formula to $\|V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p$ for $p\geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\|V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p = d(\|V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
= \;& \frac{p}{2}\|V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\Big(-2\nu\|V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\|^2 \\
& -2\langle \widehat{B}(V^\varepsilon(t),u^\varepsilon(t))-\widehat{B}(V^0(t),u^0(t)), V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\rangle dt \\
& +2\big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\big(\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)-\widehat{F}(u^0(t),t)\big)-\widehat{F}'(u^0(t),t)V^0(t), V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}dt \\
& +2\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)-\widehat{G}(u^0(t),t), V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}dW(t)+\|\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)-\widehat{G}(u^0(t),t)\|_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}^2 dt\Big) \\
& +p(\frac{p}{2}-1)\|V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-4}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)-\widehat{G}(u^0(t),t), V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\big)_{\mathbb{V}} \\
& \quad \big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(t),t)-\widehat{G}(u^0(t),t), V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\big)'_{\mathbb{V}}dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating from 0 to t,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p+\nu p\int_0^t \|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|^2 ds \\
= \;& -p\int_0^t \|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\langle \widehat{B}(V^\varepsilon(s),u^\varepsilon(s))-\widehat{B}(V^0(s),u^0(s)), V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\rangle ds \\
& +p\int_0^t \|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2} \\
& \quad \big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-F(u^0(s),s))-\widehat{F}'(u^0(s),s)V^0(s), V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}ds \\
& +p\int_0^t \|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s), V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}dW(s) \\
& +\frac{p}{2}\int_0^t \|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s)\|_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}^2 ds \\
& +p(\frac{p}{2}-1)\int_0^t \|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-4}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s), V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}} \\
& \quad \big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s), V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)'_{\mathbb{V}}ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $\tau_J=\inf\{t\geq 0;\|V^\varepsilon(t)-V^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\geq J\}$. Taking sup over the interval $[0,T\wedge\tau_J]$ and taking expectation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]+\nu pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|^2 ds\Big] \\
\leq \;& pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big|\langle \widehat{B}(V^\varepsilon(s),u^\varepsilon(s))-\widehat{B}(V^0(s),u^0(s)), V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\rangle\big| ds\Big] \\
& +pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2} \\
& \quad \big|\big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\big(\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{F}(u^0(s),s)\big)-\widehat{F}'(u^0(s),s)V^0(s), V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big| ds\Big] \\
& +pE\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\Big|\int_0^t \|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}2\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s), V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}dW(s)\Big|\Big] \\
& +\frac{p}{2}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s)\|_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}^2 ds\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+p(\frac{p}{2}-1)E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-4}\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s),V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\\
&\qquad\big(\widehat{G}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s),V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)'_{\mathbb{V}}ds\Big]\\
:=\;& J_1(T)+J_2(T)+J_3(T)+J_4(T)+J_5(T). \qquad (4.15)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big|\langle\widehat{B}\big(V^\varepsilon(s),u^\varepsilon(s)\big)-\widehat{B}\big(V^0(s),u^0(s)\big),V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\rangle\big|\\
=\;&\big|-\langle\widehat{B}\big(V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s),V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big),u^0(s)\rangle+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\langle\widehat{B}\big(V^\varepsilon(s),V^\varepsilon(s)\big),V^0(s)\rangle\big|\\
\le\;& C\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}C\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\|V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the above inequality into J_1, we get for $\delta>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1(T)\;\le\;& C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}\\
&\qquad+\sqrt{\varepsilon}C\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\|V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}ds\Big]\\
\le\;& C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(r)-V^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}ds\\
&\qquad+\sqrt{\varepsilon}C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\big(\delta\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p+C_\delta(\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\|V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}})^{\frac{p}{2}}\big)ds\Big]\\
\le\;& C_{p,\delta}\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(r)-V^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]\big(\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}+1\big)ds\\
&\qquad+\sqrt{\varepsilon}C_{p,\delta}\Big(E\Big[\int_0^T\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p}ds\Big]+E\Big[\int_0^T\|V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^pds\Big]\Big)\\
\le\;& C_{p,\delta}\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(r)-V^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]\big(\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}+1\big)ds\\
&\qquad+\sqrt{\varepsilon}C_{p,\delta,T}\Big(E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p}\Big]+E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^p\Big]\Big). \qquad (4.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.2) and (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\big(\widehat{F}(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-\widehat{F}(u^0(s),s)\big)-\widehat{F}'(u^0(s),s)V^0(s),V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big|\\
\le\;&\Big|\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\big(F(u^\varepsilon(s),s)-F(u^0(s),s)\big)-F'(u^0(s),s)\frac{u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}},V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\Big)_{\mathbb{V}}\Big|\\
&+\big|\big(F'(u^0(s),s)\frac{u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}-F'(u^0(s),s)V^0(s),V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big|\\
\le\;& C\|\theta(s)\big(u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\big)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}+C\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\\
\le\;&\sqrt{\varepsilon}C\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}+C\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\theta(s)\in(0,1)$ comes from the mean value theorem.
Thus, we have

$$
J_2(T)\le C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}
$$

$$\Big(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}+\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\Big)ds\Big]$$

$$\leq C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p ds\Big]+\sqrt{\varepsilon}C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-1}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big]$$

$$\leq C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]ds+\sqrt{\varepsilon}C_{p,T}E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p}\Big]. \tag{4.17}$$

By the B-D-G inequality and (3.9),

$$J_3(T)\leq C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C_pE\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{\frac{p}{2}}\Big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]$$

$$\leq \delta E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]$$
$$+C_{p,\delta}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big]$$

$$\leq \delta E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]+C_{p,\delta}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p ds\Big]$$
$$+\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}C_{p,\delta}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p ds\Big]$$

$$\leq \delta E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]+C_{p,\delta}\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(r)-V^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]ds$$
$$+\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}C_{p,\delta,T}E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]. \tag{4.18}$$

Using (3.9) again, we have

$$J_4(T)\leq C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big]$$

$$\leq C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p ds\Big]+C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p ds\Big]$$

$$\leq C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(r)-V^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]ds+\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}C_{p,T}E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]. \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$J_5(T)\leq C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^\varepsilon(s)-u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds\Big]$$

$$\leq C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(r)-V^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]ds+\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}C_{p,T}E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]. \tag{4.20}$$

Combining (4.15)–(4.20), we get

$$(1-\delta)E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\epsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\nu p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|V^\varepsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|^2 ds\Big]\\
\leq\ & C_{p,\delta}\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{r\in[0,s\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(r)-V^0(r)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]\big(\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}+1\big)ds\\
&+\sqrt{\varepsilon}C_{p,\delta,T}\Big(E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p}\Big]+E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^p\Big]\Big)\\
&+\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}C_p E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.21}
$$

Choosing $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ and applying Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$
E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|V^\epsilon(s)-V^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]\leq(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}+\sqrt{\varepsilon})C_p. \tag{4.22}
$$

Let $J\to\infty$ and $\varepsilon\to 0$ to obtain (4.13). ■

5 Moderate deviation principle

In this section, we will prove that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}(u^\varepsilon-u^0)$ satisfies an LDP on $C([0,T];\mathbb{V})$ with $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ satisfying (1.5). This special type of LDP is usually called the moderate deviation of u^ε.

5.1 Weak convergence method

We will recall the general criteria for a large deviation principle obtained in [4]. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a Polish space with the Borel σ-field $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})$.

Definition 5.1 (Rate function) *A function $I:\mathcal{E}\to[0,\infty]$ is called a rate function on $\mathcal{E}$, if for each $M<\infty$, the level set $\{x\in\mathcal{E}:I(x)\leq M\}$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{E}$.*

Definition 5.2 (Large deviation principle) *Let I be a rate function on $\mathcal{E}$. A family $\{X^\varepsilon\}$ of $\mathcal{E}$-valued random elements is said to satisfy a large deviation principle on $\mathcal{E}$ speed $\lambda^2(\varepsilon)$ and with rate function I, if the following two conditions hold:*

(a) *(Upper bound) For each closed subset F of $\mathcal{E}$,*

$$
\limsup_{\varepsilon\to0}\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\log P(X^\varepsilon\in F)\leq-\inf_{x\in F}I(x).
$$

(b) *(Lower bound) For each open subset G of $\mathcal{E}$,*

$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon\to0}\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\log P(X^\varepsilon\in G)\geq-\inf_{x\in G}I(x).
$$

The Cameron-Martin space associated with the Wiener process $\{W(t),t\in[0,T]\}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}_0:=\left\{h:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}^m;h\text{ is absolutely continuous and }\int_0^T\|\dot{h}(s)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2ds<+\infty\right\}. \tag{5.1}
$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_0$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle h_1, h_2\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0} := \int_0^T \langle \dot{h}_1(s), \dot{h}_2(s)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} ds.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of $\mathbb{R}^m$-valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$-predictable processes ϕ belonging to $\mathcal{H}_0$ P-a.s.. Set $S_N = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_0; \int_0^T \|\dot{h}(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m} ds \le N\}$. The set S_N endowed with the weak topology is a Polish space. Define $\mathcal{A}_N = \{\phi \in \mathcal{A}; \phi(\omega) \in S_N, P\text{-a.s.}\}$.

Recall the following result from [4].

Theorem 5.3 *For $\varepsilon > 0$, let Γ^ε be a measurable mapping from $C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^m)$ into $\mathcal{E}$. Let $X^\varepsilon := \Gamma^\varepsilon(W(\cdot))$. Suppose that there exists a measurable map $\Gamma^0 : C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathcal{E}$ such that*

(a) for every $N < +\infty$ and any family $\{h^\varepsilon; \varepsilon > 0\} \subset \mathcal{A}_N$ satisfying that h^ε converges in distribution as S_N-valued random elements to h as $\varepsilon \to 0$,
$\Gamma^\varepsilon\left(W(\cdot) + \lambda(\varepsilon)\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}^\varepsilon(s)ds\right)$ converges in distribution to $\Gamma^0(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s)ds)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$;

(b) for every $N < +\infty$, the set

$$\left\{\Gamma^0\left(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s)ds\right); h \in S_N\right\}$$

is a compact subset of $\mathcal{E}$.

Then the family $\{X^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ satisfies a large deviation principle in $\mathcal{E}$ with the rate function I given by

$$I(g) := \inf_{\{h\in\mathcal{H}_0; g=\Gamma^0(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s)ds)\}} \left\{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|\dot{h}(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m} ds\right\}, \ g \in \mathcal{E}, \tag{5.2}$$

with the convention $\inf\{\emptyset\} = \infty$.

5.2 Our main result

Set $Z^\varepsilon(t) := (u^\varepsilon(t) - u^0(t))/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)$. It is easy to see that Z^ε satisfies the following stochastic evolution equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & dZ^\varepsilon(t) + \nu\widehat{A}Z^\varepsilon(t)dt + \widehat{B}\big(Z^\varepsilon(t), u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)Z^\varepsilon(t)\big)dt + \widehat{B}\big(u^0(t), Z^\varepsilon(t)\big)dt \\ = \ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(\widehat{F}(u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)Z^\varepsilon(t), t) - \widehat{F}(u^0(t), t)\big)dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\widehat{G}\big(u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)Z^\varepsilon(t), t\big)dW(t). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The solution of (5.3) determines a mapping Γ^ε from $C(0,T;R^m)$ to $C(0,T;\mathbb{V})$ so that $\Gamma^\varepsilon(W) = Z^\varepsilon$. Let N be any fixed positive integer. Fixed $h \in S_N$, consider the deterministic PDE:

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) + \nu\widehat{A}X(t)dt + \widehat{B}(X(t), u^0(t))dt + \widehat{B}(u^0(t), X(t))dt \\ = \widehat{F}'(u^0(t), t)X(t)dt + \widehat{G}(u^0(t), t)\dot{h}(t)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Theorem 5.7 below implies that there exists a unique solution $X(t) \in C(0,T;\mathbb{V})$ to the equation (5.4). Define

$$\Gamma^0(\int_0^{\cdot} \dot{h}(s)ds) := X.$$

Let $I : C(0,T;\mathbb{V}) \to [0,\infty]$ be defined as in (5.2).

Theorem 5.4 *Assume that* **(F1)**, **(F2)**, **(G)** *and* **(I)** *hold. Then the family* $\{Z^\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ *of system (5.3) satisfied a large deviation principle on* $C([0,T];\mathbb{V})$ *with speed* $\lambda^2(\varepsilon)$ *and with the good rate function I with respect to the topology of uniform convergence.*

Proof of Theorem 5.4.

According to Theorem 5.3, we need to prove that Condition (a), (b) are fulfilled. The verification of Condition (a) will be given by Theorem 5.7 below. Condition (b) will be established in Theorem 5.8 below.

5.3 The Proofs

For any fixed family $\{h^\varepsilon;\varepsilon>0\} \subset \mathcal{A}_N$, By Girsanov Transformation and the definition of P^ε, we know that $X^{h^\varepsilon} := \Gamma^\varepsilon(W(\cdot) + \lambda(\varepsilon)\int_0^{\cdot} \dot{h}^\varepsilon(s)ds)$ is the solution of the following SPDE:

$$\begin{aligned} & dX^{h^\varepsilon}(t) + \nu\widehat{A}X^{h^\varepsilon}(t)dt + \widehat{B}\big(X^{h^\varepsilon}(t), u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(t)\big)dt + \widehat{B}\big(u^0(t), X^{h^\varepsilon}(t)\big)dt \\ = \ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(\widehat{F}(u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(t),t) - \widehat{F}(u^0(t),t)\big)dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\widehat{G}\big(u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(t),t\big)dW(t) + \widehat{G}\big(u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(t),t\big)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t)dt. \quad (5.5) \end{aligned}$$

First we will establish some priori estimates.

Lemma 5.1 *There exists* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *and a constant* $C_{p,N}$ *such that*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)} E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^p\Big] \le C_{p,N}, \quad \text{for any } 2 \le p < \infty. \tag{5.6}$$

Set $\mathbb{W}_M = Span(e_1,\cdots,e_M)$. Let $X^{M,h^\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{W}_M$ be the Galerkin approximations of (5.5) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & d(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i)_{\mathbb{V}} + \nu((X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i))dt \\ = \ & -\langle\widehat{B}(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}), e_i\rangle dt - \langle\widehat{B}(u^0, X^{M,h^\varepsilon}), e_i\rangle dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(F(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t) - F(u^0,t), e_i\big)dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t), e_i\big)dW(t) \\ & + (G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t), e_i)dt, \ \imath = 1,2,\cdots,M. \quad (5.7) \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [37], one can show that $\lim_{M\to\infty} X^{M,h^\varepsilon} = X^{h^\varepsilon}$ with respect to the weak-star topology in $L^p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P,L^\infty([0,T],\mathbb{W}))$ for any $p \ge 2$. Hence Lemma 5.1 will follow from the following result, whose proof is rather involved.

Lemma 5.2 *For $p \geq 2$, we have*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)} E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big] \leq C_{p,N}, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)} E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^p\Big] \leq C_{p,N}. \tag{5.9}$$

Proof: Recall $\|v\|_* = |curl(v - \alpha\Delta v)|$ for any $v \in \mathbb{W}$. Define

$$\tau_J = inf\{t \geq 0, \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}} + \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_* \geq J\}.$$

Applying Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i)_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\Big(\nu((X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i))dt \\
& \quad + \langle\widehat{B}(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}), e_i\rangle dt + \langle\widehat{B}(u^0, X^{M,h^\varepsilon}), e_i\rangle dt\Big) \\
= \; & 2\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(F(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t) - F(u^0, t), e_i\big)dt \\
& + \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), e_i\big)dW(t) + \big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t), e_i\big)dt\Big) \\
& + \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)^2}\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), e_i\big)\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), e_i\big)'dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i)_{\mathbb{V}}^2$ and $\langle\widehat{B}(u, v), v\rangle = 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\nu\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|^2 dt + 2\langle\widehat{B}(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, u^0), X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\rangle dt \\
= \; & \frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(F(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t) - F(u^0, t), X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)dt \\
& + \frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)dW(t) \\
& + 2\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t), X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)dt \\
& + \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), e_i\big)\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), e_i\big)'dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

By Itô's formula again, for $p \geq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p \\
= \; & \frac{p}{2}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\Big(-2\nu\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|^2 dt - 2\langle\widehat{B}(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, u^0), X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\rangle \\
& + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(F(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t) - F(u^0, t), X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)dt \\
& + \frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)dW(t) \\
& + 2\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t), X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)dt
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\lambda_i\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i\big)\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i\big)'dt\Big)\\
&+p(\frac{p}{2}-1)\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-4}\\
&\quad\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)'dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrate from 0 to t, take sup over the interval $[0,T\wedge\tau_J]$ and take expectation to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]+\nu pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|^2dt\Big]\\
\leq\ & pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}|\langle\widehat{B}(X^{M,h^\varepsilon},u^0),X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\rangle|dt\Big]\\
&+\frac{p}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big|\big(F(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)-F(u^0,t),X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)\big|dt\Big]\\
&+\frac{p}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\int_0^t\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},s),X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)dW(s)\Big]\\
&+pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\big|\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t),X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)\big|dt\Big]\\
&+\frac{p}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\lambda_i\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i\big)\\
&\quad\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i\big)'dt\Big]\\
&+\frac{p}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}(\frac{p}{2}-1)E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-4}\\
&\quad\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)dt\Big]\\
:=\ & I_1(T)+I_2(T)+I_3(T)+I_4(T)+I_5(T)+I_6(T).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.12}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
I_1(T)\ \leq\ C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{W}}dt. \tag{5.13}
$$

By (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2(T)\ &\leq\ \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}dt\Big]\\
&\leq\ C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]dt.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.14}
$$

Applying (3.6) and B-D-G inequality to I_3, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3(T)\ &\leq\ \frac{C_p}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p-2}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\leq\ \frac{C_p}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p-2}\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+C_p\sqrt{\varepsilon}E[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p}dt\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\leq\ & \frac{C_p}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-1}\big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\\
&+C_p\sqrt{\varepsilon}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{\frac{p}{2}}\big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}dt\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\\
\leq\ & \eta_1E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}\Big]+\frac{C_{p,\eta_1}}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\Big]^{\frac{p}{2}}\\
&+\eta_2E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}\Big]+C_{p,\eta_2}\varepsilon E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}dt\Big]\\
\leq\ & (\eta_1+\eta_2)E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}\Big]+C_{p,\eta_2}\varepsilon\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}\Big]dt\\
&+\frac{C_{p,\eta_1,T}}{\lambda^p(\varepsilon)}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p, \qquad (5.15)
\end{aligned}
$$

where η_1,η_2 are positive constants chosen later. I_4 and I_6 can be bounded as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_4(T)\ \leq\ & C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-1}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}dt\Big]\\
\leq\ & C_pE\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-1}\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}dt\Big]\\
\leq\ & \delta E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}\Big]+C_{p,\delta}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}dt\Big]^p\\
\leq\ & \delta E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}\Big]+C_{p,\delta}N^{p/2}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\Big]^{p/2}\\
\leq\ & \delta E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}\Big]+C_{p,\delta,N}\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda^p(\varepsilon)\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}\Big]dt\\
&+C_{p,\delta,N,T}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p, \qquad (5.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

where δ is a positive constant. And

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_6(T)\ \leq\ & \frac{C_p}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\Big]\\
\leq\ & C_p\varepsilon E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}dt\Big]+\frac{C_p}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p-2}\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\Big]\\
\leq\ & C_p(\varepsilon+1)\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{p}\Big]dt+\frac{C_{p,T}}{\lambda^p(\varepsilon)}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p. \qquad (5.17)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we estimate $I_5(T)$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a unique solution $\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t)\in\mathbb{W}^{\otimes m}$ of the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t)-\alpha\Delta\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t)=G(u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t),t)\ \text{in}\ \mathcal{O},\\
\text{div}\ \widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t)=0\ \text{in}\ \mathcal{O},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(t) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{O}.$$

Moreover,

$$(\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(t), e_i)_{\mathbb{V}} = (G(u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(t), t), e_i), \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, M\},$$

and there exists a positive constant C_0 such that

$$\|\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{W}^{\otimes m}} \leq C_0 \|G(u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(t), t)\|_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}.$$

Hence by (2.8), (3.5), (3.6) and $0 < \lambda_i \leq \lambda_{i+1},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots,$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i (G(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(s), s), e_i)(G(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(s), s), e_i)' \\
= &\sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i (\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(s), e_i)_{\mathbb{V}} (\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(s), e_i)'_{\mathbb{V}} \\
= &\sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} (\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(s), s), e_i)_{\mathbb{W}} (\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(s), s), e_i)'_{\mathbb{W}} \\
\leq &\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \|\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(s), s)\|^2_{\mathbb{W}^{\otimes m}} \\
\leq &\frac{C_0^2}{\lambda_1} \|G(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(s), s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}} \\
\leq &C\|u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}. \qquad (5.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5(T) \leq &\frac{C_p}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)} E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J} \|X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}\|^{p-2}_{\mathbb{V}} \|u^0(t) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(t)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}} dt\Big] \\
\leq &C_p(\varepsilon + 1) \int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]} \|X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(s)\|^p_{\mathbb{V}}\Big] dt + \frac{C_{p,T}}{\lambda^p(\varepsilon)} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^0(t)\|^p_{\mathbb{V}}. \qquad (5.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.12)–(5.17) and (5.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1 - \delta - \eta_1 - \eta_2) E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]} \|X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(t)\|^p_{\mathbb{V}}\Big] + \nu p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J} \|X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}\|^{p-2}_{\mathbb{V}} \|X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}\|^2 dt\Big] \\
\leq &C_{p,\delta,\eta_1,\eta_2,T} \int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]} \|X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(s)\|^p_{\mathbb{V}}\Big] \big(\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{W}} + 1\big) dt \\
&+C_{p,N,\delta,\eta_1,\eta_2,T} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^0(t)\|^p_{\mathbb{V}}, \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1). \qquad (5.20)
\end{aligned}$$

Choose $\delta = \eta_1 = \eta_2 = \frac{1}{4}$. By Gronwall's Inequality, there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)} E[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]} \|X^{M,h^{\varepsilon}}(t)\|^p_{\mathbb{V}}] \leq C_{p,N},$$

which is (5.8).

Next we prove (5.9). To this end, we need to establish an estimate for $\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^p$. Setting

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(u^0, X^{M,h^\varepsilon})\\
=\ & -\nu\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon} + curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon} - \alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}) \times (u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon})\\
& + curl(u^0 - \alpha\Delta u^0) \times X^{M,h^\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(F(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t) - F(u^0, t)\big)\\
& - G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t),
\end{aligned}$$

(5.7) becomes

$$d(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i)_{\mathbb{V}} + (\phi(u^{M,0}, X^{M,h^\varepsilon}), e_i)dt = \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), e_i\big)dW(t).$$

Since $e_i \in \mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O}),\ i \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Lemma 2.9), $X^{M,h^\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{W}_M$, and $u^0 \in \mathbb{H}^4(\mathcal{O})$ (see Lemma 3.1), we see that $\phi(u^0, X^{M,h^\varepsilon}) \in \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{O})$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a unique $v^M \in \mathbb{W}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
v^M - \alpha\Delta v^M &= \phi(u^0, X^{M,h^\varepsilon}) \text{ in } \mathcal{O},\\
\text{div } v^M &= 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O},\\
v^M &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{O}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$(v^M, e_i)_{\mathbb{V}} = (\phi(u^0, X^{M,h^\varepsilon}), e_i), \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}.$$

Thus

$$d(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i)_{\mathbb{V}} + (v^M, e_i)_{\mathbb{V}}dt = \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), e_i\big)dW(t). \tag{5.21}$$

Let $\widetilde{G}$ be defined as before so that

$$\lambda_i(G(u^0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, t), e_i) = (\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t), e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}.$$

Multiplying (5.21) by λ_i and noticing (2.8), we get

$$d(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i)_{\mathbb{W}} + (v^M, e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}dt = \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}(\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t), e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}dW(t).$$

Applying Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}^2 + 2(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}(v^M, e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}dt\\
=\ & \frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}(\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t), e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}dW(t) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}(\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t), e_i)_{\mathbb{W}}(\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t), e_i)'_{\mathbb{W}}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking summation from $i = 1$ to $i = M$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 + 2(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, v^M)_{\mathbb{W}}dt\\
=\ & \frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, \widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t)\big)_{\mathbb{W}}dW(t) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\big(\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t), e_i\big)_{\mathbb{W}}\big(\widetilde{G}^\varepsilon(t), e_i\big)'_{\mathbb{W}}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.4) and (2.8), we rewrite the above equation as follows

$$d\big[\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^2\big] + 2\big[(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}, v^M)_{\mathbb{V}} + \big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon} - \alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}), curl(v^M - \alpha\Delta v^M)\big)\big]dt$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \ & \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\lambda_i^2\big(\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(t),e_i\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big(\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(t),e_i\big)'_{\mathbb{V}}dt+\frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon},\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(t)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}dW(t)\\
& +\frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl\big(\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(t)-\alpha\Delta\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(t)\big)\Big)dW(t).
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of v^M and $\widetilde{G}^{\varepsilon}(t)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\big[\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2+\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^2\big]+2\big[(X^{M,h^\varepsilon},\phi(u^0,X^{M,h^\varepsilon}))\\
& \qquad +\big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl(\phi(u^0,X^{M,h^\varepsilon}))\big)\big]dt\\
= \ & \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\lambda_i^2\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i\big)\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i\big)'dt\\
& +\frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon},G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\big)dW(t)\\
& +\frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\big)\Big)dW(t).
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting (5.10) from the above equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^2+2\Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl\big(\phi(u^0,X^{M,h^\varepsilon})\big)\Big)dt\\
= \ & \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sum_{i=1}^{M}(\lambda_i^2-\lambda_i)(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i)(G(u^{M,0}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i)'dt\\
& +\frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\big)\Big)dW(t). \qquad (5.22)
\end{aligned}
$$

A simple calculation gives that

$$
\Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl\big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon})\times(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon})\big)\Big)=0,
$$

and

$$
curl\big(curl(u^0-\alpha\Delta u^0)\times X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)=-\Delta(u^0-\alpha\Delta u^0)\times X^{M,h^\varepsilon}.
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl\big(\phi(u^0,X^{M,h^\varepsilon})\big)\Big)\\
= \ & \Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(-\nu\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)\Big)\\
& +\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(curl(u^0-\alpha\Delta u^0)\times X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)\Big)\\
& -\Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl\big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big[F(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)-F(u^0,t)\big]\big)\Big)\\
& -\Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t)\big)\Big)\\
= \ & \frac{\nu}{\alpha}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^2-\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)\Big)\\
& +\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),-\Delta\big(u^0-\alpha\Delta u^0\big)\times X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\Big)\\
& -\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big[F(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)-F(u^0,t)\big]\big)\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$-\Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}),curl\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t)\big)\Big). \tag{5.23}$$

Combining (5.22) with (5.23) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^2+\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^2dt-\frac{2\nu}{\alpha}\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)\Big)dt\\
&+2\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),-\Delta\big(u^0-\alpha\Delta u^0\big)\times X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\Big)dt\\
&-2\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big[F(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)-F(u^0,t)\big]\big)\Big)dt\\
&-2\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(G(u^{M,0}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t)\big)\Big)dt\\
=\;&\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sum_{i=1}^{M}(\lambda_i^2-\lambda_i)(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i)(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i)'dt\\
&+\frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\big)\Big)dW(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô's formula to $\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^p$, $p\geq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^p\\
=\;&p\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^{p-2}\Big\{-\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^2dt+\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big)\Big)dt\\
&+\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),\Delta\big(u^0-\alpha\Delta u^0\big)\times X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\Big)dt\\
&+\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big[F(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)-F(u^0,t)\big]\big)\Big)dt\\
&+\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t)\big)\Big)dt\\
&+\frac{1}{2\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sum_{i=1}^{M}(\lambda_i^2-\lambda_i)(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i)(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t),e_i)'dt\\
&+\frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\big)\Big)dW(t)\Big\}\\
&+\frac{p}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}(\frac{p}{2}-1)\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\|_*^{p-4}\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\big)\Big)\\
&\cdot\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}\big),curl\big(G(u^0+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon},t)\big)\Big)'dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrate from 0 to t, take sup over the interval $[0,T\wedge\tau_J]$ and take expectation to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^p\Big]+\frac{\nu p}{\alpha}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^pdt\Big]\\
\leq\;&\frac{\nu p}{\alpha}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-2}\Big|\Big(curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)),curl(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t))\Big)\Big|dt\Big]\\
&+pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-2}\\
&\cdot\Big|\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\big),\Delta\big(u^0(t)-\alpha\Delta u^0(t)\big)\times X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\Big)\Big|dt\Big]\\
&+\frac{p}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-2}\Big|\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\big),
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&curl\big(\big[F(u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t),t)-F(u^0(t),t)\big]\big)\Big)\Big|dt\Big]\\
&+pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-2}\\
&\quad\Big|\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\big),curl\big(G(u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t),t)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(t)\big)\Big)\Big|dt]\\
&+\frac{p}{2\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-2}\sum_{i=1}^M(\lambda_i^2-\lambda_i)\\
&\quad(G(u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t),t),e_i)(G(u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t),t),e_i)'dt\\
&+\frac{p}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\Big|\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_*^{p-2}\\
&\quad\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\big),curl\big(G(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s),s)\big)\Big)dW(s)\Big|\Big]\\
&+\frac{p}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}(\frac{p}{2}-1)E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-4}\\
&\quad\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\big),curl\big(G(u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t),t)\big)\Big)\\
&\quad\Big(curl\big(X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)-\alpha\Delta X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\big),curl\big(G(u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t),t)\big)\Big)'dt\Big]\\
:=\;& J_1(T)+J_2(T)+J_3(T)+J_4(T)+J_5(T)+J_6(T)+J_7(T). \qquad (5.24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwardz, Young inequalities, and noticing the fact

$$
|curl(v)|^2\le\frac{2}{\alpha}\|v\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\ \text{ for any } v\in\mathbb{W}, \qquad (5.25)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1(T)\;&\le\; C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-2}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}dt\Big]\\
&\le\; C_p\int_0^TE\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_*^p\Big]dt+C_{p,T}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big]. \qquad (5.26)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.1, Cauchy-Schwardz, Young inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_2(T)\;&\le\; C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-1}|\Delta(u^0(t)-\alpha\Delta u^0(t))\times X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)|dt\Big]\\
&\le\; C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-1}|\Delta(u^0(t)-\alpha\Delta u^0(t))||X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)|_{L^\infty}dt\Big]\\
&\le\; C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-1}\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^4}(\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}+\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*)dt\Big]\\
&\le\; C_pE\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^pdt\Big]+C_pE\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-1}\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}dt\Big]\\
&\le\; C_p\int_0^TE\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_*^p\Big]dt+\delta E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^p\Big]\\
&\quad+C_{p,\delta,T}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big], \qquad (5.27)
\end{aligned}
$$

where δ is a positive constant.
By (3.1)–(3.6) and (5.25), and using Cauchy-Schwardz and Young inequalities again,

$$\begin{aligned} J_3(T) &\leq C_p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J} \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-1}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}dt\Big] \\ &\leq C_p\int_0^T E[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_*^p]dt + C_{p,T}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_4(T) &\leq C_p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-1}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|\dot{h^\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}dt\Big] \\ &\leq \eta E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^p\Big] + C_{p,\eta,N,T}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big] \\ &\leq \eta E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^p\Big] \\ &\quad + C_{p,\eta,N,T}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big(\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p + \varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda^p(\varepsilon)\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\big)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

Similar argument to (5.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^M(\lambda_i^2-\lambda_i)(G(u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t),t),e_i)(G(u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t),t),e_i)' \\ \leq\ & C\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J_5(T) &\leq C_p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-2}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\Big] \\ &\leq C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_*^p\Big]dt \\ &\quad + C_{p,T}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big(\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p+\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda^p(\varepsilon)\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\big)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

Using the B-D-G, Cauchy-Schwardz and Young inequalities and (3.5), (3.6), (5.25),

$$\begin{aligned} J_6(T) &\leq C_p E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{2p-2}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_p E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-1}\Big(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big] \\ &\leq \kappa E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^p\Big] \\ &\quad + C_{p,\kappa,T}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big(\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p+\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda^p(\varepsilon)\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\big)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

and

$$J_7(T) \leq \frac{C_p}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^{p-2}\|u^0(t)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2dt\Big]$$

$$\leq C_p \int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]} \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_*^p\Big]dt$$
$$+C_{p,T}E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big(\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p + \varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}\lambda^p(\varepsilon)\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\big)\Big]. \tag{5.32}$$

Combining with (5.24) and (5.26)–(5.32), we have

$$(1-\delta-\eta-\kappa)E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]} \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^p\Big] + \frac{\nu p}{\alpha}E\Big[\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_J} \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^p dt\Big]$$
$$\leq C_p\int_0^T E\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau_J]} \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(s)\|_*^p\Big]dt$$
$$+C_{p,\delta,\eta,\kappa,N,T}\Big\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^0(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p + E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^p\Big)\Big]\Big\}. \tag{5.33}$$

Let $\delta=\eta=\kappa=\frac{1}{4}$. The Gronwall lemma and (5.33) imply that

$$E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_J]} \|X^{M,h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_*^p\Big] \leq C_{p,N}. \tag{5.34}$$

Letting $J\to\infty$, we obtain (5.9). ■

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a separable Hilbert space. Given $p>1$, $\beta\in(0,1)$, let $W^{\beta,p}([0,T];\mathbb{K})$ be the space of all $u\in L^p([0,T];\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$\int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{\|u(t)-u(s)\|_{\mathbb{K}}^p}{|t-s|^{1+\beta p}}dtds<\infty,$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{\beta,p}([0,T];\mathbb{K})}^p := \int_0^T\|u(t)\|_{\mathbb{K}}^p dt + \int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{\|u(t)-u(s)\|_{\mathbb{K}}^p}{|t-s|^{1+\beta p}}dtds.$$

The following result is a variant of the criteria for compactness proved in [34] (Sect. 5, Ch. I) and [47] (Sect. 13.3).

Lemma 5.3 Let $\mathbb{K}_0\subset\mathbb{K}\subset\mathbb{K}_1$ be Banach spaces, $\mathbb{K}_0$ and $\mathbb{K}_1$ reflexive, with compact embedding of $\mathbb{K}_0$ into $\mathbb{K}$. For $p\in(1,\infty)$ and $\beta\in(0,1)$, let Λ be the space

$$\Lambda = L^p([0,T];\mathbb{K}_0)\cap W^{\beta,p}([0,T];\mathbb{K}_1)$$

endowed with the natural norm. Then the embedding of Λ into $L^p([0,T];\mathbb{K})$ is compact.

We will apply the above criteria to prove the following result.

Proposition 5.5 *$\{X^{h^\varepsilon}\}$ is tight in $L^2([0,T];\mathbb{V})$.*

Proof: Note that

$$X^{h^\varepsilon}(t) = -\int_0^t \nu\widehat{A}X^{h^\varepsilon}(s)ds - \int_0^t \widehat{B}(X^{h^\varepsilon}(s),u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(s))ds - \int_0^t\widehat{B}(u^0(s),X^{h^\varepsilon}(s))ds$$
$$+\int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}(\widehat{F}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(s),s)-\widehat{F}(u^0(s),s))ds$$

$$+\int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\widehat{G}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(s),s)dW(s)+\int_0^t \widehat{G}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(s),s)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(s)ds$$
$$:= \quad I_1(t)+I_2(t)+I_3(t)+I_4(t)+I_5(t)+I_6(t).$$

By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$E\Big[\int_0^T \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^2 dt\Big] \le C_{2,N} \tag{5.35}$$

where $C_{2,N}$ is a constant independent of ε. We next prove

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)} E\Big[\|X^{h^\varepsilon}\|^2_{W^{\beta,2}([0,T],\mathbb{W}^*)}\Big] \le C_\beta < \infty, \quad \beta\in(0,1/2) \tag{5.36}$$

here ε_0 is the constant stated in Lemma 5.1.

Noting that, for any $u\in\mathbb{W}$ and $v\in\mathbb{V}$,

$$(\widehat{A}u,v)_{\mathbb{V}} = ((u,v)),$$

and $\|v\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \ge \alpha\|v\|^2$ (see (2.3)), we have

$$\|\widehat{A}u\|_{\mathbb{V}} = \sup_{\|v\|_{\mathbb{V}}\le 1}|(\widehat{A}u,v)_{\mathbb{V}}| = \sup_{\|v\|_{\mathbb{V}}\le 1}|((u,v))| \le \|u\| \sup_{\|v\|_{\mathbb{V}}\le 1}\|v\| \le \alpha^{-1/2}\|u\|. \tag{5.37}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_1(t)-I_1(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 &= \|\int_s^t \nu\widehat{A}X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)dl\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \le \int_s^t \|\nu\widehat{A}X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 dl(t-s)\\
&\le \nu^2\alpha^{-1}\int_s^t \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|^2 dl(t-s)\\
&\le \nu^2\alpha^{-2}\sup_{l\in[0,T]}\|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2(t-s)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

By (5.38), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E\Big[\|I_1\|^2_{\mathbb{W}^{\beta,2}([0,T];\mathbb{V})}\Big] &= E\Big[\int_0^T \|I_1(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 ds + \int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{\|I_1(t)-I_1(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2}{|t-s|^{1+2\beta}}dsdt\Big]\\
&\le TE\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|I_1(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\Big] + E\int_0^T\int_0^T \nu^2\alpha^{-2}\sup_{l\in[0,T]}\|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2(t-s)^{1-2\beta}dsdt\\
&\le C_{\beta,T}E\Big[\sup_{l\in[0,T]}\|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\Big], \quad \beta\in(0,1).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_2(t)-I_2(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}^*}^2 &= \|\int_s^t \widehat{B}(X^{h^\varepsilon}(l),u^0(l)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))dl\|_{\mathbb{W}^*}^2\\
&\le \int_s^t \|\widehat{B}(X^{h^\varepsilon}(l),u^0(l)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|_{\mathbb{W}^*}^2 dl\cdot(t-s)\\
&\le \int_s^t C\|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^2\|u^0(l)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 dl\cdot(t-s)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{W}} \sup_{l\in[0,T]} \big(\|u^0(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}} + \varepsilon\lambda^2(\varepsilon)\|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}\big)(t-s)^2 \\
&\leq C \sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|u^0(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}} \sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|^2_{\mathbb{W}}(t-s)^2 \\
&\quad + C \sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|^4_{\mathbb{W}}(t-s)^2 + C\varepsilon^2\lambda^4(\varepsilon)\|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|^4_{\mathbb{V}}(t-s)^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|I_3(t) - I_3(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{W}^*} &= \|\int_s^t \widehat{B}(u^0(l), X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))dl\|^2_{\mathbb{W}^*} \\
&\leq \int_s^t \|\widehat{B}(u^0(l), X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|^2_{\mathbb{W}^*}dl\cdot(t-s) \\
&\leq \int_s^t C\|u^0(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{W}}\|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}dl\cdot(t-s) \\
&\leq C \sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|u^0(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{W}} \sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}(t-s)^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

which yield, for $\beta \in (0,1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E\Big[\|I_2\|^2_{\mathbb{W}^{\beta,2}([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*)}\Big] \\
\leq\ & C_{\beta,T}E\Big[\sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|^4_{\mathbb{W}}\Big] + C_{\beta,T}\sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|u^0(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}E\Big[\sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{W}}\Big], \qquad (5.40)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
E\Big[\|I_3\|^2_{\mathbb{W}^{\beta,2}([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*)}\Big] \leq C_{\beta,T}\sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|u^0(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{W}}E\Big[\sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}\Big]. \qquad (5.41)
$$

By (3.1)–(3.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|I_4(t) - I_4(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}} &= \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\int_s^t (\widehat{F}(u^0(l) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l), l) - \widehat{F}(u^0(l), l))dl\|^2_{\mathbb{V}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\int_s^t \|(\widehat{F}(u^0(l) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l), l) - \widehat{F}(u^0(l), l))\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}dl\cdot(t-s) \\
&\leq C\int_s^t \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}dl\cdot(t-s) \\
&\leq C \sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}(t-s)^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
E\Big[\|I_4\|^2_{\mathbb{W}^{\beta,2}([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*)}\Big] \leq C_{\beta,T}E\Big[\sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}\Big], \quad \beta\in(0,1). \qquad (5.42)
$$

By (3.5), (3.9) and using B-D-G, Hölder's inequalities,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\Big[\|I_5(t) - I_5(s)\|^{2p}_{\mathbb{V}}\Big] &= E\Big[\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\int_s^t \|\widehat{G}(u^0(l) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l), l)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes m}}dl\Big]^p \\
&\leq \frac{C_p}{\lambda^{2p}(\varepsilon)}E\Big[\int_s^t \|u^0(l) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}dl\Big]^p
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\leq C_p \sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|u^0(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p}(t-s)^p + C_p\varepsilon E\Big[\sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p}\Big](t-s)^p.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & E\Big[\|I_5\|^{2p}_{\mathbb{W}^{\beta,2p}([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*)}\Big] \qquad (5.43)\\ \leq\ & C_{\beta,T,p}\Big(\sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|u^0(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p} + E\Big[\sup_{l\in[0,T]} \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l))\|_{\mathbb{V}}^{2p}\Big]\Big)\\ & \cdot\Big(1+\int_0^T\int_0^T |t-s|^{(1-2\beta)p-1}dsdt\Big) \leq C_{\beta,T,p} < \infty,\quad \beta\in(0,1/2),\ (1-2\beta)p>0. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.5), (3.9) and Hölder's inequality again,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_6(t)-I_6(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 &= \|\int_s^t \widehat{G}(u^0(l)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l),l)\dot{h}^\varepsilon(l)dl\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\\ &\leq \int_s^t \|\widehat{G}(u^0(l)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l),l)\|_{\mathbb{V}\otimes m}^2 dl\int_s^t \|\dot{h}^\varepsilon(l)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 dl\\ &\leq C\int_s^t \|u^0(l)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 dl\int_s^t \|\dot{h}^\varepsilon(l)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 dl\\ &\leq C_T\Big\{\sup_{l\in[0,T]}\|u^0(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + \|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\Big\}\int_s^t \|\dot{h}^\varepsilon(l)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 dl(t-s), \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by Fubini Theorem,

$$E\Big[\|I_6\|^2_{\mathbb{W}^{\beta,2}([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*)}\Big] \leq C_{\beta,N,T}\Big\{\sup_{l\in[0,T]}\|u^0(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + E\Big[\sup_{l\in[0,T]}\|X^{h^\varepsilon}(l)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\Big]\Big\},\quad \beta\in(0,1). \quad (5.44)$$

Combining (5.39)–(5.44) and (5.6), we obtain (5.36).
Since the imbedding $\mathbb{W}\subset\mathbb{V}$ is compact, by Lemma 5.3,

$$\Lambda = L^2([0,T],\mathbb{W})\cap\mathbb{W}^{\beta,2}([0,T],\mathbb{W}^*),\quad \beta\in(0,1/2)$$

is compactly imbedded in $L^2([0,T],\mathbb{V})$. Denote $\|\cdot\|_\Lambda := \|\cdot\|_{L^2([0,T],\mathbb{W})} + \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{W}^{\beta,2}([0,T],\mathbb{W}^*)}$. Thus for any $L>0$,

$$K_L = \{u\in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{V}),\ \|u\|_\Lambda \leq L\}$$

is relatively compact in $L^2([0,T],\mathbb{V})$. We have

$$P(X^{h^\varepsilon}\notin K_L) \leq P(\|X^{h^\varepsilon}\|_\Lambda \geq L) \leq \frac{1}{L}E(\|X^{h^\varepsilon}\|_\Lambda) \leq \frac{C}{L}.$$

Freely choosing the constant L, we see that $\{X^{h^\varepsilon},\ \varepsilon>0\}$ is tight in $L^2([0,T],\mathbb{V})$. ■

Using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [23], we see that the imbedding $C([0,T],\mathbb{V})\cap\Big(\mathbb{W}^{\beta_1,p_1}([0,T],\mathbb{W}^*)+\cdots+\mathbb{W}^{\beta_m,p_m}([0,T],\mathbb{W}^*)\Big)\subset C([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*)$ is compact if $\beta_i p_i>1$, $i=1,2,\cdots,m$. The following result is a consequence of (5.39) (5.40) (5.41) (5.42) (5.43) and (5.44).

Proposition 5.6 *$\{X^{h^\varepsilon}\}$ is tight in $C([0,T];\mathbb{W}^*)$.*

We are ready now to verify the condition (a) in Theorem 5.3.

Theorem 5.7 *For every fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $h^\varepsilon,\ h \in \mathcal{A}_N$ be such that h^ε converges in distribution to h as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Then*

$$\Gamma^\varepsilon\left(W(\cdot) + \lambda(\varepsilon)\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}^\varepsilon(s)ds\right) \text{ converges in distribution to } \Gamma^0(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s)ds)$$

in $C([0,T];\mathbb{V})$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Proof: Note that $X^{h^\varepsilon} = \Gamma^\varepsilon\left(W(\cdot) + \lambda(\varepsilon)\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}^\varepsilon(s)ds\right)$. By Proposition 5.5 and Proposition 5.6, we know that $\{X^{h^\varepsilon}\}$ is tight in $L^2([0,T],\mathbb{V}) \cap C([0,T],\mathbb{W}^*)$.

Let $(X,\ h,\ W)$ be any limit point of the tight family $\{(X^{h^\varepsilon},\ h^\varepsilon,\ W),\ \varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)\}$ with respect to the convergence in law. We must show that X has the same law as $\Gamma^0(\int_0^\cdot \dot{h}(s)ds)$, and that actually $X^{h^\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow X$ in the smaller space $C([0,T];\mathbb{V})$.

Set

$$\Pi = \Big(L^2([0,T],\ \mathbb{V}) \cap C([0,T],\ \mathbb{W}^*),\ S_N,\ C([0,T],\ \mathbb{R}^m)\Big).$$

By Skorokhod representation theorem, there exist a stochastic basis $(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \{\mathcal{F}^1_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}, \mathbb{P}^1)$ and, on this basis, Π-valued random variables $(\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon,\ \widetilde{h}^\varepsilon,\ \widetilde{W^\varepsilon})$, $(\widetilde{X},\ \widetilde{h},\ \widetilde{W})$ such that $(\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon,\ \widetilde{h}^\varepsilon,\ \widetilde{W^\varepsilon})$ (respectively $(\widetilde{X},\ \widetilde{h},\ \widetilde{W})$) has the same law as $\{(X^{h^\varepsilon},\ h^\varepsilon,\ W),\ \varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)\}$ (respectively $(X,\ h,\ W)$), and $(\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon,\ \widetilde{h}^\varepsilon,\ \widetilde{W^\varepsilon}) \to (\widetilde{X},\ \widetilde{h},\ \widetilde{W})$-$\mathbb{P}^1$ a.s. in Π.

From the equation satisfied by $(X^{h^\varepsilon},\ h^\varepsilon,\ W)$, we see that $(\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon,\ \widetilde{h}^\varepsilon,\ \widetilde{W^\varepsilon})$ satisfies the following integral equation in $\mathbb{W}^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(t) &= -\nu\int_0^t \widehat{A}\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)ds - \int_0^t \widehat{B}(\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s), u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s))ds - \int_0^t \widehat{B}(u^0(s), \widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s))ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}(\widehat{F}(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s), s) - \widehat{F}(u^0(s), s))ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\widehat{G}(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s), s)d\widetilde{W^\varepsilon}(s) + \int_0^t \widehat{G}(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s), s)\dot{\widetilde{h}^\varepsilon}(s)ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

and moreover,(see (5.6))

$$\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)} E^1\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|^p_{\mathbb{W}}\Big] \le C_{p,N}, \text{ for any } 2 \le p < \infty, \tag{5.46}$$

where E^1 stands for the expectation under the probability measure $\mathbb{P}^1$. Owing to (5.46) and the fact that $\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon \to \widetilde{X}$ $\mathbb{P}^1$-a.s. in $L^2([0,T],\ \mathbb{V}) \cap C([0,T],\ \mathbb{W}^*)$, we can assert that there exists a sub-sequence $\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon_k}$ such that, as $\varepsilon_k \to 0$

(a) $\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon_k} \to \widetilde{X}$ weakly-* in $L^p(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1,\ L^\infty([0,T],\mathbb{W}))$ for any $2 \le p < \infty$,

Moreover,

$$E^1\Big[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|\widetilde{X}(s)\|^p_{\mathbb{W}}\Big] \le C_{p,N}, \text{ for any } 2 \le p < \infty \tag{5.47}$$

(b) $\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon_k} \to \widetilde{X}$ weakly in $L^p(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1,\ L^q([0,T], \mathbb{V}))$ for any $2 \le p,\ q < \infty$.

Thanks to (5.46),(5.47), and the fact that $\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon} \to \widetilde{X}$ $\mathbb{P}^1$-a.s. in $L^2([0,T],\ \mathbb{V}) \cap C([0,T],\ \mathbb{W}^*)$, we have, as $\varepsilon_k \to 0$

(c) $\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon_k} - \widetilde{X} \to 0$ in $L^2(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1,\ L^2([0,T], \mathbb{V}))$,

(d) $\widehat{A}\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon_k} \to \widehat{A}\widetilde{X}$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1,\ L^2([0,T], \mathbb{V}))$,

(e) $\frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)} \int_0^{\cdot} \widehat{G}(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^{\epsilon}(s), s) d\widetilde{W}^{\varepsilon}(s) \to 0$ in $L^2(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1,\ C([0,T], \mathbb{V}))$,

(f) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}(\widehat{F}(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^{\epsilon}(s), s) - \widehat{F}(u^0(s), s)) \to \widehat{F}'(u^0(s), s))\widetilde{X}(s)$
in $L^2(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1,\ L^2([0,T], \mathbb{V}))$,

(g) $\widehat{B}(\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon_k}(s), u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon}(s)) \to \widehat{B}(\widetilde{X}(s), u^0(s))$ weakly
in $L^2(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1,\ L^2([0,T], \mathbb{W}^*))$,

(h) $\widehat{B}(u^0(s), \widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon_k}(s)) \to \widehat{B}(u^0(s), \widetilde{X}(s))$ in $L^2(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1,\ L^2([0,T], \mathbb{W}^*))$,

(i) $\widehat{G}(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^{\epsilon}(s), s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}^{\varepsilon}(s) \to \widehat{G}(u^0(s), s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}(s)$ weakly
in $L^2(\Omega^1, \mathcal{F}^1, \mathbb{P}^1,\ L^2([0,T], \mathbb{V}))$.

Letting $\varepsilon_k \to 0$ in (5.45) and using (a)–(i), it is easy to see that $\widetilde{X}$ is the unique solution of the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{X}(t) &= -\nu \int_0^t \widehat{A}\widetilde{X}(s)ds - \int_0^t \widehat{B}(\widetilde{X}(s), u^0(s))ds - \int_0^t \widehat{B}(u^0(s), \widetilde{X}(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \widehat{F}'(u^0(s), s)\widetilde{X}(s)ds + \int_0^t \widehat{G}(u^0(s), s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}(s)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

This implies

$$X \overset{law}{=} \widetilde{X} = \Gamma^0(\int_0^{\cdot} \dot{\widetilde{h}}(s)ds) \overset{law}{=} \Gamma^0(\int_0^{\cdot} \dot{h}(s)ds).$$

Next, we will prove the following stronger statement:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\widetilde{X}(t) - \widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}} = 0, \quad \text{in probability.} \tag{5.49}$$

Because $\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon}$=$X^{h^{\varepsilon}}$ in law, (5.49) implies that $X^{h^{\varepsilon}} \Rightarrow X$ in the space $C([0,T], \mathbb{V})$.

Let $v^{\varepsilon}(t) = \widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon}(t) - \widetilde{X}(t)$. Using Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \|v^{\varepsilon}(s)\|^2 ds \\ = \ & -2\int_0^t \langle \widehat{B}(\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon}(s), u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon}(s)) - \widehat{B}(\widetilde{X}(s), u^0(s)), v^{\varepsilon}(s)\rangle ds \\ & -2\int_0^t \langle \widehat{B}(u^0(s), \widetilde{X}^{\varepsilon}(s)) - \widehat{B}(u^0(s), \widetilde{X}(s)), v^{\varepsilon}(s)\rangle ds \\ & +2\int_0^t \big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}(\widehat{F}(u^0(s) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^{\epsilon}(s), s) - \widehat{F}(u^0(s), s)) - \widehat{F}'(u^0(s), s) \cdot \widetilde{X}(s), v^{\varepsilon}(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+2\int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\|\widehat{G}\big(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\big)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes_m}}ds\\
&+2\int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(\widehat{G}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s),s),v^\varepsilon(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}d\widetilde{W}^\varepsilon(s)\\
&+2\int_0^t \big(\widehat{G}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s),s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}^\varepsilon(s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}(s),v^\varepsilon(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}ds. \qquad (5.50)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big\langle\widehat{B}(\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s),u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s))-\widehat{B}(\widetilde{X}(s),u^0(s)),v^\varepsilon(s)\big\rangle\big|\\
\le\ &\big|\big\langle\widehat{B}(\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s),\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)),v^\varepsilon(s)\big\rangle\big|+|\langle\widehat{B}(v^\varepsilon(s),u^0(s)),v^\varepsilon(s)\rangle|\\
\le\ &C_B\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{W}}\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}+C_B\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}, \qquad (5.51)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\big\langle\widehat{B}(u^0(s),\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s))-\widehat{B}(u^0(s),\widetilde{X}(s)),v^\varepsilon(s)\big\rangle=\big\langle\widehat{B}(u^0(s),v^\varepsilon(s)),v^\varepsilon(s)\big\rangle=0. \qquad (5.52)
$$

By (3.1)–(3.4), (3.7), (3.9) and the mean value theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)}\big(\widehat{F}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\epsilon(s),s)-\widehat{F}(u^0(s),s)\big)-\widehat{F}'(u^0(s),s)\widetilde{X}(s),v^\varepsilon(s)\Big)_{\mathbb{V}}\Big|\\
\le\ &C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}+C\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}. \qquad (5.53)
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.6) implies

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\|\widehat{G}\big(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\big)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}^{\otimes_m}}\le\frac{C}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\|u^0(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}+C_G\varepsilon\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}, \qquad (5.54)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2\int_0^t\big|\big(\widehat{G}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s),s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}^\varepsilon(s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}(s),v^\varepsilon(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big|ds\\
\le\ &2\int_0^t\big|\big(\widehat{G}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s),s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}^\varepsilon(s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}^\varepsilon(s),v^\varepsilon(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big|ds\\
&+2\int_0^t\big|\big(\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}^\varepsilon(s)-\widehat{G}(u^0(s),s)\dot{\widetilde{h}}(s),v^\varepsilon(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}\big|ds\\
\le\ &C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\int_0^t\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|\dot{\widetilde{h}}^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}ds+C\int_0^t\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\|\dot{\widetilde{h}}^\varepsilon(s)-\dot{\widetilde{h}}(s)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}ds\\
\le\ &\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)C_N\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\Big(\int_0^T\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}ds\Big)^{\frac12}+C_N\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\Big(\int_0^T\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}ds\Big)^{\frac12}. \qquad (5.55)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (5.50)–(5.55), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|v^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}+2\nu\int_0^t\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|^2ds\\
\le\ &\Big\{C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\big(\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{W}}+\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}+1\big)+C_N\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\Big\}\Big(\int_0^T\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{\mathbb{V}}ds\Big)^{\frac12}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+C\int_0^t \|v^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\big(\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}+1\big)ds\\
&+\frac{C}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2+\varepsilon C\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\\
&+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\Big|\frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\int_0^t\big(\widehat{G}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s),s),v^\varepsilon(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}d\widetilde{W}^\varepsilon(s)\Big|.
\end{aligned}
$$

By Gronwall's inequality,

$$
\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|v^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2 \;\le\; \Theta(\varepsilon,T)\exp\Big(\int_0^T\varphi(s)ds\Big), \tag{5.56}
$$

Here

$$
\varphi(s)=\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}+1, \tag{5.57}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\varepsilon,T) \;=\; &\Big\{C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\big(\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}^2+\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2+1\big)\\
&\qquad +C_N\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}\Big\}\Big(\int_0^T\|v^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2ds\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&+\frac{C}{\lambda^2(\varepsilon)}\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2+\varepsilon C\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\\
&+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\Big|\frac{2}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\int_0^t\big(\widehat{G}(u^0(s)+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\lambda(\varepsilon)\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon(s),s),v^\varepsilon(s)\big)_{\mathbb{V}}d\widetilde{W}^\varepsilon(s)\Big|.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.58}
$$

Remembering that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\widetilde{X}^\varepsilon=\widetilde{X}$ in $L^2([0,T],\mathbb{V})$ $\mathbb{P}^1$-a.s. and $\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\|u^0(s)\|_{\mathbb{W}}\le C<\infty$, we get

$$
\exp\Big(\int_0^T\varphi(s)ds\Big)\le C<\infty, \tag{5.59}
$$

and

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\Theta(\varepsilon,T)=0,\quad \text{in probability.} \tag{5.60}
$$

Hence,

$$
\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|v^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbb{V}}^2\to0,\quad \text{in probability.}
$$

■

Replacing $\frac{1}{\lambda(\varepsilon)}\int_0^t\widehat{G}(u^{h^\varepsilon}(s),s)dW(s)$ by 0 and replacing h^ε by deterministic elements in $\mathcal{H}_0$ in the proof of Proposition 5.5, Proposition 5.6 and Theorem 5.7, we can similarly prove the following result.

Theorem 5.8 *$\Gamma^0(\int_0^\cdot\dot g(s)ds)$ is a continuous mapping from $g\in S_N$ into $C([0,T];\mathbb{V})$, in particular, $\{\Gamma^0(\int_0^\cdot\dot g(s)ds);\ g\in S_N\}$ is a compact subset of $C([0,T],\mathbb{V})$.*

References

[1] A. De Acosta: Moderate deviations and associated Laplace approximations for sums of independent random vectors. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **329**(1), 357–375(1992)

[2] J.M. Bernard: Weak and classical solutions of equations of motion for second grade fluids. Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. **5**(4), 1–32(1998)

[3] H. Bessaih, A. Millet: Large deviations and the zero viscosity limit for 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with free boundary. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **44**(3), 1861–1893(2012)

[4] A. Budhiraja, P. Dupuis: A variational representation for positive functionals of infinite dimensional Brownian motion. Probab. Math. Statist. **20**, 39–61(2000)

[5] A. Budhiraja, P. Dupuis and A. Ganguly: Moderate Deviation Principle for Stochastic Differential Equations with Jump, arXiv:1401.73v1

[6] A. Budhiraja, P. Dupuis, V. Maroulas: Large deviations for infinite dimensional stochastic dynamical systems. Ann. Probab. **36**(4), 1390–1420(2008)

[7] A. Budhiraja, P. Dupuis, V. Maroulas: Large deviations for stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms. Bernoulli **16**(1), 234–257(2010)

[8] A. Budhiraja, P. Dupuis, M. Fischer: Large deviation properties of weakly interacting processes via weak convergence methods. Ann. Probab. **40**(1), 74-102(2012)

[9] A. V. Busuioc: On second grade fluids with vanishing viscosity. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **328**(12), 1241-1246(1999)

[10] A. V. Busuioc, T.S. Ratiu: The second grade fluid and averaged Euler equations with Navier-slip boundary conditions. Nonlinearity, **16**(3), 1119-1149(2003)

[11] C. Cardon-Weber: Large deviations for a Burgers'-type SPDE, Stoch. Process. Appl. **84**, 53-70(1999)

[12] S. Cerrai, M. Röckner: Large deviations for stochastic reaction-diffusion systems with multiplicative noise and non-Lipschtiz reaction term. Ann. Probab. **32**, 1100-1139(2004)

[13] Chen, X: Probabilities of moderate deviations for independent random vectors in a Banach space. Chinese J. Appl. Prob. Stat **7**, 24–32(1991)

[14] F. Chenal, A. Millet: Uniform large deviations for parabolic SPDEs and applications. Stoch. Process. Appl. **72**, 161-186(1997)

[15] I. Chueshov, A. Millet, Stochastic 2D hydrodynamical type systems: well posedness and large deviations. Appl. Math. Optim. **61**(3), 379-420(2010)

[16] D. Cioranescu, V. Girault: Weak and classical solutions of a family of second grade fluids. Internat. J. Non-Linear Mech. **32**(2), 317-335(1997)

[17] D. Cioranescu, E.H. Ouazar: Existence and uniqueness for fluids of second grade. In Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Their Applications, College de France Seminar, vol. 109, pp. 178-197, Pitman, Boston, Mass, USA, 1984

[18] Z. Dong, J. Xiong, J.L. Zhai, T.S. Zhang:A Moderate Deviation Principle for 2-D Stochastic Navier-Stokes Equations Driven by Multiplicative Lévy Noises, arXiv:1505.04671

[19] A. Dembo, O. Zeitouni: Large Deviations Techniques and Applications. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, New York(1998)

[20] J.E. Dunn, R.L. Fosdick: The rmodynamics, stability and boundedness of fluids of complexity two and fluids of second grade. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **56**(3), 191-252(1974)

[21] J.E. Dunn, K.R. Rajagopal: Fluids of differential type: critical review and thermodynamic analysis. Internat. J. Engrg. Sci. **33**(5), 668-729(1995)

[22] M. Ermakov: The sharp lower bound of asymptotic efficiency of estimators in the zone of moderate deviation probabilities. Electron. J. Statist. **6**, 2150–2184(2012)

[23] F. Flandoli, D. Gatarek: *Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations*. Probab. Theory Related Fields 102, no. 3, 367-391 (1995).

[24] R.L. Fosdick, K.R. Rajagopal: Anomalous features in the model of second grade fluids. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **70**, 145-152(1978)

[25] F. Gao, X. Zhao: Delta method in largedeviations and moderate deviations for estimators. Ann. Statist. **39**(2), 1211–1240(2011)

[26] A. Guillin, R. Liptser: Examples of moderate deviation principle for diffusion processes. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **6**(2004)

[27] E. Hausenblas, P.A. Razafimandimby, M. Sango: Martingale solution to equations for differential type fluids of grade two driven by random force of Levy type. Potential Anal. **38**(4), 1291-1331(2013)

[28] D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden, T.S. Ratiu: $Euler - Poincaré$ models of ideal fluids with nonlinear dispersion. Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**(19), 4173-4176(1998)

[29] D.D. Holm, J.E. Marsden, T.S. Ratiu: The $Euler - Poincaré$ equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories. Adv. Math. **137**(1), 1-81(1998)

[30] D. Iftimie: Remarques sur la limite $\alpha \to 0$ pour les fluides de grade 2. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **334**(1), 83-86(2002)

[31] T. Inglot, W. Kallenberg: Moderate deviations of minimum contrast estimators under contamination. Ann. Statist. 852–879(2003)

[32] W. Kallenberg: On moderate deviation theory in estimation. Ann. Statist. **11**(2), 498–504(1983)

[33] M. Ledoux: Sur les déviations modérées des sommes de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes de même loi. Ann. Henri Poincaré **28**(7), 267–280(1992)

[34] J. L. Lions: Quelques Methodes de Resolution des Problemes aux Limites non Lineaires. Dunod, Paris(1969)

[35] W. Liu: Large deviations for stochastic evolution equations with small multiplicative noise. App. Math. Opt. **61**(1), 27-56(2010)

[36] W. Noll, C. Truesdell: The Nonlinear Field Theory of Mechanics. in: Handbuch der Physik, vol. III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin(1975)

[37] P.A. Razafimandimby, M. Sango. Strong solution for a stochastic model of two-dimensional second grade fluids: Existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behavior. Nonlinear Anal. **75**,4251-4270(2012)

[38] P.A. Razafimandimby, M. Sango: Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of stochastic evolution equations for second grade fluids. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **348**(13-14), 787-790(2010)

[39] P.A. Razafimandimby, M. Sango: Weak solution of a stochastic model of two-dimensional second grade fluids. Bound. Value Probl. **47**, Art. ID 636140, 47pp(2010)

[40] M. Röckner, T.S. Zhang: Large deviations for stochastic tamed 3D Navier-Stokes equations. Appl. Math. Optim. **61**(2), 267-285(2010)

[41] S. Shkoller: Smooth global Lagrangian flow for the 2D Euler and second-grade fluid equations. Appl. Math. Lett. **14**(5), 539-543(2001)

[42] S. Shkoller: Geometry and curvature of diffeomorphism groups with H^1 metric and mean hydrodynamics. J. of Funct. Anal. **160**(1), 337-365(1998)

[43] V.A. Solonnikov: On general boundary problems for systems which are elliptic in the sense of A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg. I. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **56**, 193-232(1966)

[44] V.A. Solonnikov: On general boundary problems for systems which are elliptic in the sense of A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg. II. Pro. Steklov Inst. Math. **92**, 269-339(1968)

[45] R.B. Sowers: Large deviations for a reaction-diffusion equation with non-Gaussian perturbations. Ann. Probab. **20**, 504-537(1992)

[46] S.S. Sritharan, P. Sundar: Large deviations for the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations with multiplicative noise. Stoch. Process. Appl. **116**(11), 1636-1659(2006)

[47] R. Temam: Navier-Stokes Equations and Nonlinear Functional Analysis. SIAM, Philadelphia(1983)

[48] H. Touchette: The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics. Phys. Rep. **478**, 1-69(2009)

[49] R. Wang, J.L. Zhai, T.S. Zhang: A moderate deviation principle for 2-D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations. J. Diff. Equat. **258**(10), 3363–3390(2015)

[50] R. Wang,T.S. Zhang: Moderate deviations for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations with multiplicative noise. Potential Anal. **42**, 99–113(2015)

[51] L. Wu: Moderate deviations of dependent random variables related to CLT. Ann. Probab. 420–445(1995)

[52] J.L. Zhai, T.S. Zhang: Large deviations for stochastic models of two-dimensional second grade fluids. Appl. Math. Optimiz. 1–28(2015)

[53] T.S. Zhang: On small time asymptotics of diffusions on Hilbert spaces. Ann. Probab. **28**, 537-557(2002)